#### **CABINET – 14TH FEBRUARY 2019**

#### Report of the Head of Finance and Property Services Lead Member: Councillor Tom Barkley

#### Part A

# CAPITAL STRATEGY (INCLUDING THE TREASURY MANAGEMENT STRATEGY) FOR 2019-20

#### Purpose of Report

This report introduces the Capital Strategy, which is newly required under the terms of the 'Prudential Code', a statutory code of practice. The report also sets out the Treasury Management Strategy Statement together with the Annual Investment Strategy and Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) Policy. These latter strategies and the MRP policy are integral to the overarching Capital Finance Strategy and are therefore presented within a single report for context.

This Cabinet report recommends the approval of the above strategies to Council.

#### Recommendations

- 1. That the Capital Strategy, as set out at Appendix A of this report be approved and recommended to Council.
- 2. That the Treasury Management Strategy Statement, Annual Investment Strategy and Minimum Revenue Provision Policy as shown at Appendix B of this report be approved and recommended to Council.
- 3. That the Prudential and Treasury Indicators, also set out in within Appendix B of this report be approved and recommended to Council.

#### Reasons

- 1. To enable the Council to comply with the statutory code of practice issued by CIPFA: 'The Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities, 2017 Edition'.
- To ensure that the Council's governance and management procedures for Treasury Management reflect best practice and comply with the CIPFA Treasury Management in the Public Services Code of Practice, Guidance Notes and Treasury Management Policy Statement.
- 3. To ensure that funding of capital expenditure is taken within the totality of the Council's financial position and that borrowing and investment is only carried out with proper regard to the Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities.

#### Policy Justification and Previous Decisions

The Capital Strategy must be approved by Council on an annual basis. The presentation of a Capital Strategy was optional for the 2018/19 financial year but is a requirement for the 2019/20 and subsequent financial years.

The Treasury Management Strategy Statement, Prudential and Treasury Indicators and Annual Investment Strategy must be approved by Council each year and reviewed half yearly.

#### Implementation Timetable including Future Decisions and Scrutiny

This report is available for the consideration of the Overview Scrutiny Group on 11 February 2019.

#### Report Implications

The following implications have been identified for this report.

#### Financial Implications

There are no direct financial implications arising from this report.

Financial issues arising from the implementation of the strategies are covered within the report.

#### Risk Management

Risk Identified	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Management actions planned
Poor treasury investment decisions due to inadequate treasury management strategies in place	Unlikely	Moderate	Strategy developed in accordance with CIPFA guidelines and best practice.  Adherence to clearly defined treasury management policies and practices
Loss of council funds through failure of borrowers	Remote	Severe	Credit ratings and other information sources used to minimise risk  Adherence to clearly defined treasury management policies and practices
Volatile market changes (such as interest rates or sector ratings) occur during year	Possible	Moderate	Approved strategy in place, regular monitoring of position and use of Treasury Consultants and other sources to provide the latest advice.

Risk Identified	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Management actions planned
Significant losses arising from investments in non-financial instruments (such as loans to third parties or property investments)	Possible	Major	Professional advice will be sought in advance of non-standard or new investment activity.  Adherence to strategy which set out limits to investment in individual asset classes.

Key Decision: Yes

Background Papers: Cabinet Report 13th September 2018 – Updated

Treasury Management Practices

Officers to contact: Tina Stankley

Head of Finance and Property Services

(01509) 634810

tina.stankley@charnwood.gov.uk

Simon Jackson

Strategic Director of Corporate Services

(01509) 634699

simon.jackson@charnwood.gov.u

#### Background

- 1. The Capital Strategy is a new requirement arising from the extant version of the 'Prudential Code'. This code is a statutory code of practice and was published by the Chartered Institute of Public Financial Accountants (CIPFA) in November 2017. It was issued by the Secretary of State under section 15(1)(a) of the Local Government Act 2003. Under that section local authorities are required to 'have regard' to 'such guidance as the Secretary of State may issue'.
- 2. The Council's treasury management activities also fall within the scope of the Prudential Code.
- 3. The Capital Strategy forms part of the Council's integrated revenue, capital and balance sheet planning. It sets out the long-term context in which capital expenditure and investment decisions are made, considers risks and rewards and the potential impacts on Council objectives
- 4. The Capital Strategy is an overarching strategy that encompasses the following aspects:
  - Capital expenditure and governance
  - Capital financing and the borrowing
  - Treasury management investments (essentially financial assets)
  - Commercial strategy non-financial assets (including commercial properties and prospective housing development)
  - Access to knowledge and skills (enabling the strategy to be delivered)
  - Treasury management policy statement and practices (presented as a separate appendix)
- 5. The most recent Medium Term Financial Strategy (approved at the Council meeting of 21st January 2019) includes a transformation and efficiency plan that sets out a range of responses to the likely future financial challenges facing the Council. These included a more proactive approach to treasury management, prospective investments in commercial property and development of commercial opportunities. Additionally, a report to Cabinet of 14th January 2019 outlined the Council's aspirations to deliver affordable housing through the mechanism of a Housing Development Company. In order to enable these initiatives new flexibilities in the Council's treasury management and borrowing policies are required.

- 6. The principal expanded flexibilities are that the Council would be able to make commercial investments, e.g. to provide loans to the Housing Development Company, which would generate investment income for the General Fund. The other main change is that an Minimum Revenue Provision Policy has been included in the Treasury Management Strategy and will require full Council approval
- 7. Advice has been obtained from the Council's treasury management advisers in developing the above proposals.
- 8. In addition to those noted above, the Capital Strategy also outlines other flexibilities (and associated governance arrangements) that are likely to be required in future, principally around the prospective acquisition of commercial properties and making commercial investments. These are presented within the Strategy for illustrative purposes. It is envisaged that a further report will be presented to Cabinet in due course setting out final proposals for these flexibilities requesting that these be recommended for approval by Council.
- 9. As noted above and in Part A, this report also requests that the Treasury Management Strategy Statement, Annual Investment Strategy and Minimum Revenue Provision Policy together with the Prudential and Treasury Indicators, be approved and recommended to Council
- 10. The Treasury Management and Annual Investment Strategy have been prepared in accordance with the revised code and accordingly include:
  - the treasury limits in force which will limit the treasury risk and activities of the council,
  - the Prudential and Treasury Indicators
  - the current treasury position
  - the borrowing requirement
  - prospects for interest rates
  - the borrowing strategy
  - policy on borrowing in advance of need
  - debt rescheduling
  - the investment strategy
  - creditworthiness policy
  - the use of external fund managers and treasury advisers

#### • Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) Policy

#### **Appendices**

Appendix A: Capital Finance Strategy

Appendix B: Treasury Management Strategy Statement, Annual

Investment Strategy and Minimum Revenue Provision

Policy for 2019-20



# Charnwood Borough Council Capital Strategy 2019 – 2020

#### **Foreword**

Robust financial planning is a critical component of the Council's overall system of financial management. Although the Capital Strategy is a new requirement that arises from the updated terms of the 'Prudential Code', a statutory code of practice, much of its content reflects the pre-existing management parameters and controls already in place within the Council including, in particular, those which govern our treasury management activities.



However, in many ways the requirement to publish a Capital Strategy is very timely. In the most recent Medium Term Financial Strategy we outlined some the potential financial challenges facing the Council and set out our responses to these within the transformation and efficiency plan that formed part of this document. Our plans include a more proactive approach to treasury management, prospective investments in commercial property and the development of commercial opportunities. Additionally, we have aspirations to deliver affordable housing through the mechanism of a Housing Development Company in order to meet the ongoing demand for new homes within our Borough. Enabling these initiatives require new flexibilities in the Council's treasury management and borrowing policies which are introduced within the Capital Strategy and associated Treasury Management Strategy.

Security and liquidity will remain as key elements of the Council's approach to financial management but the anticipated challenges ahead point us towards a more proactive approach in respect of treasury management, prudent borrowing and commercial opportunities. We have already made changes (for example, our recent investments in property funds) but this inaugural Capital Finance Strategy starts to consider how we could rebalance risk and reward as we continue on this journey.

Councillor Tom Barkley

Cabinet Lead Member for Finance & Property

February 2019

#### **CAPITAL STRATEGY (INCLUDING TREASURY MANAGEMENT)**

The purpose of the Capital Strategy is to demonstrate that the Council takes capital expenditure and investment decisions in line with service objectives and properly takes account of stewardship, value for money, prudence, sustainability and affordability. It sets out the long term context in which capital expenditure and investment decisions are made and gives due consideration to both risk and reward and impact on the achievement of priority outcomes. The Capital Strategy comprises a number of distinct, but inter-related, elements as follows:

- Capital expenditure; which includes an overview of the governance process for approval and monitoring of capital expenditure, including the Council's policies on capitalisation, and an overview of its capital expenditure and financing plans.
- Capital financing and borrowing; provides a projection of the Council's capital financing requirement, how this will be funded and repaid. It therefore sets out the Council's borrowing strategy and explains how it will make prudent revenue provision for the repayment of debt should any borrowing be required.
- Treasury management investments; explains the Council's approach to treasury
  management investment activities, including the criteria for determining how and
  where funds will be invested to ensure that the principal sums are safeguarded from
  loss and that sufficient liquidity is maintained to ensure that funds are available when
  needed.
- **Commercial investments**; provides an overview of those of the Council's current and any potential commercial investment activities that count as capital expenditure, including processes, due diligence and defining the Council's risk appetite in respect of these, including proportionality in respect of overall resources.
- Knowledge and skills; summarises the knowledge and skills available to the Council and provides confirmation that these are commensurate with the Council's risk appetite. Further details are provided in the following sections.
- Treasury management policy statement and practices; this is presented as a separate report, for approval, updates to the Council's Treasury Management Policy Statement and to its Treasury Management Practices. These set out the Council's policies, objectives and approach to risk management of its treasury management activities, and the manner in which it seeks to achieve its policies and objectives for treasury management.

#### 1. Capital expenditure

#### 1.1. Capitalisation policies

- 1.1.1. Capital expenditure involves acquiring or enhancing non-current assets with a long-term value to the Council, such as land, buildings, and major items of plant and equipment or vehicles, as well as the contribution or payments of grants to others to be used to fund capital expenditure. Capital assets shape the way services are delivered for the long term and may create financial commitments for the future in the form of financing costs and revenue running costs. Subsequent expenditure on existing assets is also classified as capital expenditure if these two criteria below are met.
- 1.1.2. Expenditure is classified as capital expenditure when the resulting asset:

- Will be held for use in the delivery of services, for rental to others, or for administrative purposes; and
- Is of continuing benefit to the Council for a period extending beyond one financial year.
- 1.1.3. There may be instances where expenditure does not meet this definition, but would nevertheless be treated as capital expenditure. This is known as 'Capitalisation' and it is the means by which the Government, exceptionally, permits local authorities to treat revenue costs as capital costs. It allows exceptional revenue costs, that should be met from revenue resources to be treated as capital expenditure. Permission is given through capitalisation directions, which the Secretary of State can issue under section 16(2)(b) of the Local Government Act 2003.
- 1.1.4. The Council operates a de-minimis limit of £10,000 for capital expenditure. This means that items below this limit are charged to revenue rather than capital.

#### 1.2. Governance

- 1.2.1. A three year Capital Plan is prepared by officers and approved by Council. The process to formulate the Capital Plan is that, potential schemes are submitted to the SMT, each one of which is supported by a Capital Application form and scored by the relevant Head of Service. The SMT peer review the applications and then, via the Head of Finance & Property, submit a report to Cabinet covering its recommendations on which schemes to include in the Plan, how the Plan could be funded and other elements such as risk and compliance with the Prudential Code.
- 1.2.2. Once adopted the three year Capital Plan is formally reviewed by Cabinet at the end of year two when Heads of Service are asked to submit proposals for the following three years. 'Year three' of the current plan would then become 'year one' of the new plan.
- 1.2.3. New schemes can only be added outside of this procedure where they are in substitution of existing schemes or have a separate source of funding so that the actual total level of the Plan would not increase.
- 1.2.4. All schemes of £50,000 in value or greater require a Capital Appraisal agreed by the Capital Programme Team plus all contracts must adhere to the Contract Procedure Rules. The s151 Officer makes recommendations to Cabinet as to whether funding should be released to a scheme included in the Capital Plan.
- 1.2.5. After the end of the financial year a report detailing the total amount of capital expenditure incurred during the year is submitted to Cabinet by the Section 151 Officer.
- 1.2.6. Prior to the closure of the Council's accounts a report detailing the proposed method of funding the capital expenditure incurred is submitted to Cabinet by the Section 151 Officer as required by the Local Government & Housing Act 1989.

#### Current Three Year Capital Plan

- 1.2.7. The Capital Plan for 2018/19 2020/21, is currently £31,450,800 (originally adopted by Council on 26th February 2018 with the latest amendments approved by Cabinet at its meeting on 13th December 2018). The Capital Plan is fully funded by a combination of the following sources:
- 1.2.8. Capital grants and contributions amounts awarded to the Council in return for past or future compliance with certain stipulations.
- 1.2.9. Capital receipts amounts generated from the sale of assets and from the repayment of capital loans, grants or other financial assistance.
- 1.2.10. Revenue contributions amounts set aside from the revenue budget.
- 1.2.11. In addition to this the Council also has the option to borrow to fund capital expenditure. At this point in time the Council has taken any borrowing to fund General Fund capital expenditure. The Council has taken out borrowing to fund the purchase of its housing stock from the Government under the 2012 Self-Financing Regime. This totals £79m.
- 1.2.12.Borrowing allows the Council to defer the funding of its capital expenditure so that it does not need to fund immediately from cash resources, but instead charges to the revenue budget over a number of years into the future.
- 1.2.13. The implications of financing capital expenditure from 'borrowing' are explained later on in Treasury Management Investments.

#### 2. Capital Financing Requirement and Borrowing Context

- 2.1. The Council is required by regulation to comply with the CIPFA Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities (referred to as the 'Prudential Code') when assessing the affordability, prudence and sustainability of its capital investment plans. Fundamental to the prudential framework is a requirement to set a series of prudential indicators. These indicators are intended to collectively build a picture that demonstrates the impact over time of the Council's capital expenditure plans upon the revenue budget and upon borrowing and investment levels, and explain the overall controls that will ensure that the activity remains affordable, prudent and sustainable.
- 2.2. The full details of the Council's CFR position and the limits that have been set for borrowing and all the associated prudential indicators are provided in the Treasury Management Strategy Statement (Appendix B).

#### 3. Treasury Management Investments

3.1. The Treasury Management Code and statutory regulations require the Council to prepare an annual strategy that explains how the Council will invest its funds, giving priority to security and liquidity, and then to yield. This Annual Investment Strategy can be found in the Treasury Management Strategy Statement (Appendix B).

#### 4. Commercial investments

4.1. The prolonged low interest rate environment has meant that treasury management investments have not generated significant returns. However, the

introduction of the general power of competence has given local authorities far more flexibility in the types of activity they can engage in. These changes in the economic and regulatory landscape, combined with significant financial challenges, have led many authorities to consider different and more innovative types of investment.

- 4.2. CIPFA recently issued an update to its Treasury Management in the Public Services: Code of Practice and Cross Sectoral Guidance Notes (the Treasury Management Code). One of the main changes introduced by the new Code is to require authorities to incorporate all of the financial and non-financial assets held for financial return in authorities' annual capital strategies.
- 4.3. Separately, the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government has issued Statutory Guidance on Local Government Investments under section 15(1)(a) of the Local Government Act 2003 and effective for financial years commencing on or after 1 April 2018
- 4.4. The primary objectives of commercial investment activities for a council should be:
  - Security to protect the capital sums invested from loss; and
  - Liquidity ensuring the funds invested are available for expenditure when needed.
- 4.5. The generation of a yield is distinct from the two objectives above. However, once proper levels of security and liquidity are determined, it would then be reasonable to consider what yield can be obtained consistent with these priorities.
- 4.6. At present the non-core activities and investments are primarily undertaken by the Council in order to generate income to support the delivery of a balanced budget. Such investments are only entered following a full assessment of the risks and having secured expert external advice (i.e. where it is relevant to do so). It is intended that separate reports to present a policy on commercial investment will be brought to Cabinet and full Council for consideration and approval. This will discuss the options open to the Council along with the risks and benefits for each. It will also include proposals on limits, diversification and governance. Each policy, as approved will then be incorporated as part of this Capital Strategy and will in future years be reviewed annually as part of this strategy.
- 4.7. Below are details of some options open to the Council that would generate a yield for the Council. The details below are indicative of options that will be considered and are provided for information only. They are not for approval at this stage.

#### **Investment properties**

4.7.1. The Council already owns land and buildings that have been acquired for capital appreciation and/or solely to earn rentals, rather than for the supply of goods or services or for administrative purposes. Such assets are classified as investment properties (unless they are acquired as the outcome of a regeneration priority).

- 4.7.2. In considering its approach to investment properties the Council will need to consider the application of parameters including:
  - Maximum and minimum cost of prospective acquisitions
  - The maximum proportion of the Council's investment assets that should be held in the form of investment properties
  - The balance of property assets held with different sectors of the market; for example, an approach might be agreed that excludes retail property acquisitions
  - The geographical limits on prospective acquisitions; for example, acquisitions could be limited to sites within the Borough, within the area of the Local Economic Partnership, or unrestricted
  - Whether properties are acquired purely on commercial grounds or whether other policy objectives, such as regeneration, should also be taken into account
  - The required rental yield from properties held for investment, and whether different yield hurdle rates be applied to prospective acquisitions fulfilling non-financial policy objectives
- 4.7.3. As noted above in paragraph 4.6, it is envisaged that a further report would be brought to Cabinet and then Council prior to commencing commercial property investment. In addition to addressing the above parameters this would address the requirements for specific knowledge and skills, and the governance structure that would support this activity given the need to make investment decisions that do not lend themselves to the standard committee cycles.

#### Loans to local enterprises and third parties

- 4.7.4. Loans to local enterprises or partner public sector bodies could be considered, as part of a wider strategy for local economic growth, even though they may not all be seen as prudent if adopting a narrow definition of prioritising security and liquidity. Such loans could be considered as an option to generate a yield. There would need to be a set of criteria drawn up which would need to be met before any loan was given. These might include:
  - Whether or not the loan has security
  - The term of the loan
  - The profile of capital repayments
  - The credit rating of the counterparty

#### **Support to Subsidiaries**

4.7.5. The Council does not currently have any wholly owned local trading or housing companies. Should the Council decide to form a subsidiary then

Council could decide to provide the funding required to support these organisations. As with providing loans to local enterprises and third parties there would need to be a set of criteria drawn up which would need to be met before any loan was given. This would mitigate the risk of loss to the Council.

#### Other commercial investments

4.7.6. There may be other commercial investment opportunities that present themselves. If this happens then a report would be presented to Cabinet for approval and the Capital Strategy will be updated to cover their inclusion.

#### 5. Knowledge and Skills

- 5.1. The Council recognises the importance of ensuring that all officers involved in the treasury management function (including commercial investment activities) are fully equipped to undertake the duties and responsibilities allocated to them. The Strategic Director for Corporate Services is responsible for recommending and implementing the necessary arrangements and does this by:
  - Appointing individuals who are capable and experienced.
  - Providing training and technical guidance to all individuals involved in the delivery of the treasury management function to enable them to acquire and maintain an appropriate level of expertise, knowledge and skills to undertake the duties and responsibilities allocated to them.
  - Appointing a treasury management advisor and other professional advisors
    when required. This ensures that the individuals involved in delivery of the
    Council's treasury management activities have access to specialist skills and
    resources. In addition, professional advisors are employed as required to
    ensure that the Council has access to the specialist skills and resources
    necessary to undertake commercial investment activities.
- 5.2. Treasury management advisors The Council employs Link Asset Services (Treasury Solutions) to provide it with treasury management advice. The services provided by Link Asset Services (Treasury Solutions) include advice on treasury matters and capital finance issues, economic and interest rate analysis and creditworthiness information. Notwithstanding this, the final decision on all treasury matters remains vested with the Council. The services received from Link Asset Services (Treasury Solutions) are subject to regular review, including through periodic re-tendering.

### 6. Treasury management Policy Statement and Treasury Management Practices

6.1. The Council's Treasury Management Policy Statement and its Treasury Management Practices have been updated to reflect the requirements of the updated Treasury Management Code. They are presented for approval in the Treasury Management Strategy (Appendix B)

## **Charnwood Borough Council**

# Treasury Management Strategy Statement

Minimum Revenue Provision Policy Statement and Annual Investment Strategy

2019/20

#### **INDEX**

Section		Page
1 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5	INTRODUCTION Background Reporting requirements Treasury Management Strategy for 2019/20 Training Treasury management consultants	no. 2 2 3 4 4 5
<b>2</b> 2.1 2.2	THE CAPITAL PRUDENTIAL INDICATORS 2018/19 – 2021/22 Capital expenditure The Council's borrowing need (the Capital Financing Requirement)	5 5 6
2.3 2.4	Minimum revenue position (MRP) policy statement Core funds and expected investment balances	7 7
3 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 3.8	BORROWING Current portfolio position Treasury Indicators: limits to borrowing activity Prospects for interest rates Investment and borrowing rates Borrowing strategy Policy on borrowing in advance of need Debt rescheduling Municipal Bond Agency	8 9 10 11 11 12 12 13
4 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.6	ANNUAL INVESTMENT STRATEGY Investment policy Creditworthiness policy Country limits Investment strategy Investment risk benchmarking End of year investment report	13 13 15 17 17 18 18
12B (1) 12B (2) 12B (3) 12B (4) 12B (5) 12B (6) 12B (7)	APPENDICES  Economic Background  Minimum Revenue Provision Policy  Treasury Management Practice (TMP1) – Credit and Counterparty Risk Management  Approved countries for investments List of approved brokers for investments  Current investments as at 20th January 2017  Treasury management role of the section 151 officer	20 26 28 30 31 32 33

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Background

The Council is required to operate a balanced budget, which broadly means that cash raised during the year will meet cash expenditure. Part of the treasury management operation is to ensure that the cash flow is adequately planned, with cash being available when it is needed. Surplus monies are invested in low risk counterparties or instruments commensurate with the Council's low risk appetite, ensuring the provision of adequate liquidity (cash balances) initially before considering investment return.

The second main function of the treasury management service is the funding of the Council's capital plans. These capital plans provide a guide to the borrowing need of the Council, essentially the longer term cash flow planning, to ensure that the Council can meet its capital spending obligations. This longer term cash management may involve arranging long or short term loans, or using longer term cash flow surpluses. On occasion any debt previously drawn may be restructured to meet Council risk or cost objectives.

The contribution the treasury management function makes to the authority is critical, as the balance of debt and investment operations ensure liquidity or the ability to meet spending commitments as they fall due, either on day-to-day revenue or for larger capital projects. The treasury operations will see a balance of the interest costs of debt and the investment income arising from cash deposits affecting the available budget. Since cash balances generally result from reserves and balances, it is paramount to ensure adequate security of the sums invested, as a loss of principal will in effect result in a loss to the General Fund Balance.

Whilst any commercial initiatives or loans to third parties will impact on the treasury function, these activities are generally classed as non-treasury activities, (arising usually from capital expenditure), and are separate from the day to day treasury management activities.

#### CIPFA defines treasury management as:

"The management of the local authority's borrowing, investments and cash flows, its banking, money market and capital market transactions; the effective control of the risks associated with those activities; and the pursuit of optimum performance consistent with those risks."

Revised reporting is required for the 2019/20 reporting cycle due to revisions of the MHCLG Investment Guidance, the MHCLG Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) Guidance, the CIPFA Prudential Code and the CIPFA Treasury Management Code. The primary reporting changes include the introduction of a capital strategy, to provide a longer-term focus to the capital plans, and greater reporting requirements surrounding any commercial activity undertaken under the Localism Act 2011. The capital strategy is being reported separately.

#### 1.2 Reporting requirements

#### Capital Strategy

The CIPFA revised 2017 Prudential and Treasury Management Codes require, for 2019-20, all local authorities to prepare an additional report, a capital strategy report, which will provide the following:

- a high-level long term overview of how capital expenditure, capital financing and treasury management activity contribute to the provision of services
- an overview of how the associated risk is managed
- the implications for future financial sustainability

The aim of this capital strategy is to ensure that all elected members on the full council fully understand the overall long-term policy objectives and resulting capital strategy requirements, governance procedures and risk appetite.

This capital strategy is reported separately from the Treasury Management Strategy Statement; non-treasury investments will be reported through the former. This ensures the separation of the core treasury function under security, liquidity and yield principles, and the policy and commercialism investments usually driven by expenditure on an asset. The capital strategy will show:

- The corporate governance arrangements for these types of activities;
- Any service objectives relating to the investments;
- The expected income, costs and resulting contribution;
- The debt related to the activity and the associated interest costs;
- The payback period (MRP policy);
- For non-loan type investments, the cost against the current market value;
- The risks associated with each activity.

Where a physical asset is being bought, details of market research, advisers used, (and their monitoring), ongoing costs and investment requirements and any credit information will be disclosed, including the ability to sell the asset and realise the investment cash.

Where the Council has borrowed to fund any non-financial investment, there should also be an explanation of why borrowing was required and why the MHCLG Investment Guidance and CIPFA Prudential Code have not been adhered to.

If any non-financial investment sustains a loss during in a financial year, the strategy and revenue implications will be reported through the same procedure as the capital strategy.

To demonstrate the proportionality between the treasury operations and the non-treasury operation, high-level comparators are shown throughout this report.

#### **Treasury Management reporting**

The Council is required to receive and approve, as a minimum, three main reports each year, which incorporate a variety of policies, estimates and actuals.

**Prudential and treasury indicators and treasury strategy** (this report) - The first and most important report covers:

- the capital plans (including prudential indicators);
- a minimum revenue provision (MRP) policy (how residual capital expenditure is charged to revenue over time);
- the treasury management strategy (how the investments and borrowings are to be organised) including treasury indicators; and
- an investment strategy (the parameters on how investments are to be managed).

A mid-year treasury management report – This will update members with the progress of the capital position, amending prudential indicators as necessary, and whether any policies require revision.

**An annual treasury report** – This provides details of a selection of actual prudential and treasury indicators and actual treasury operations compared to the estimates within the strategy.

#### Scrutiny

The above reports are required to be adequately scrutinised before being recommended to the Council. This role is undertaken by the Audit Committee and the reports are also available for consideration by the Overview Scrutiny Group.

#### 1.3 Treasury Management Strategy for 2019/20

The strategy for 2019/20 covers two main areas:

#### Capital issues

- Capital plans and prudential indicators;
- Minimum revenue provision (MRP) policy.

#### **Treasury management issues**

- current treasury position;
- treasury indicators which limit the treasury risk and activities of the Council;
- prospects for interest rates;
- borrowing strategy;
- policy on borrowing in advance of need;
- debt rescheduling;
- investment strategy;
- creditworthiness policy; and
- policy on use of external service providers.

These elements cover the requirements of the Local Government Act 2003, the CIPFA Prudential Code, CLG MRP Guidance, the CIPFA Treasury Management Code and CLG Investment Guidance.

#### 1.4 Training

The CIPFA Code requires the responsible officer to ensure that members with responsibility for treasury management receive adequate training in treasury management. This especially applies to members responsible for scrutiny. Suitable training is provided for members on a periodic basis as part of the wider Member training programme. Officers are also available to train and advise members on

an ad hoc basis outside of this programme if required. The training needs of treasury management officers are reviewed annually as part of the PDR process

#### 1.5 Treasury management consultants

The Council uses Link Asset Services Treasury Solutions as its external treasury management advisors in order to acquire access to specialist skills and resources, including a benchmarking club. However, it is recognised that responsibility for treasury management decisions remains with the Council at all times and undue reliance is therefore not placed upon our external service providers.

The Council also recognises that there is value in employing external providers of treasury management services in order to access specialist skills and resources. Officers will ensure that the terms of appointment and the methods by which their value will be assessed are properly agreed and documented, and subjected to regular review.

The scope of investments within the Council's operations may include both conventional treasury investments, (the placing of residual cash from the Council's functions), and more commercial type investments, such as investment properties in the future. The commercial type investments require specialist advisers, and the Council would appoint suitably qualified specialist advisers in relation to this activity when required.

#### 2. THE CAPITAL PRUDENTIAL INDICATORS 2019/20 - 2021/22

The Council's capital expenditure plans are the key driver of treasury management activity. The output of the capital expenditure plans is reflected in the prudential indicators, which are designed to assist members' overview and confirm capital expenditure plans.

#### 2.1 Capital expenditure

The Council's capital expenditure plans are the key driver of Treasury Management activity. This prudential indicator is a summary of the Council's capital expenditure plans, both those agreed previously, and those forming part of this budget cycle. Members are asked to approve the capital expenditure forecasts:

Capital expenditure	2017/18 Actual £'000	2018/19 Estimate £'000	2019/20 Estimate £'000	2020/21 Estimate £'000
Non-HRA	3,275	4,894	3,587	2,088
HRA	6,465	7,566	7,554	5,766
Total	9,740	12,460	11,141	7,854

The table below summarises the above capital expenditure plans and how these plans are being financed by capital or revenue resources. Any shortfall of resources results in a funding borrowing need.

Financing of capital 2017/18 Expenditure Actual £'000	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21
	Estimate	Estimate	Estimate
	£'000	£'000	£'000

Total as per above table	9,740	12,460	11,141	7,854
Financed by:				
Capital receipts	141	1,902	2,179	1,173
Capital grants	2,275	2,130	1,590	1,058
Capital reserves	0	1,015	557	0
Revenue/MRR	6,964	7,413	6,815	5,623
Net financing need for the year	0	0	0	0

#### 2.2 The Council's borrowing need (the Capital Financing Requirement)

The second prudential indicator is the Council's Capital Financing Requirement (CFR). This is simply the total historic outstanding capital expenditure which has not yet been paid for from either revenue or capital resources. It is essentially a measure of the Council's underlying borrowing need. Any capital expenditure above, which has not immediately been paid for, will increase the CFR.

The CFR will not increase indefinitely if expenditure is funded by borrowing, as the minimum revenue provision (MRP) is a statutory annual revenue charge which broadly reduces the borrowing need in line with each asset's life, and so changes the economic consumption of capital assets as they are used.

It should be noted that the Council has only taken borrowing to fund the HRA Self-financing. This means that the CFR is not forecast to increase, nor is there any reduction as there is no requirement to make a revenue provision to repay debt. This can be seen in the table below and the Council is asked to note the CFR projections in the table below.

	2017/18 Actual £'000	2018/19 Estimate £'000	2019/20 Estimate £'000	2020/21 Estimate £'000	2021/22 Estimate £'000
Capital Financing F	Requiremen	it			
CFR – non housing	(248)	(248)	(248)	(248)	(248)
CFR – housing	81,820	81,820	81,820	81,820	81,820
Total CFR	81,572	81,572	81,572	81,572	81,572
Movement in CFR	0	0	0	0	0
Movement in CFR r	epresented	l by			
Net financing need	0	0	0	0	0
for the year (above)	O	U	U	U	U
Less MRP/VRP and					
other financing	0	0	0	0	0
movements					
Movement in CFR	0	0	0	0	0

#### 2.3 Minimum revenue provision (MRP) policy statement

The Council is required to pay off an element of the accumulated General Fund capital spend each year (the CFR) through a revenue charge (the minimum revenue provision - MRP), although it is also allowed to undertake additional voluntary payments if required (voluntary revenue provision - VRP).

MHCLG regulations have been issued which require the full Council to approve an MRP Statement in advance of each year. A variety of options are provided to councils, so long as there is a prudent provision.

There is no requirement on the HRA to make a minimum revenue provision but there is a requirement for a charge for depreciation to be made (although there are transitional arrangements in place).

MRP Overpayments - A change introduced by the revised MHCLG MRP Guidance was the allowance that any charges made over the statutory minimum revenue provision (MRP), voluntary revenue provision or overpayments, can, if needed, be reclaimed in later years if deemed necessary or prudent. In order for these sums to be reclaimed for use in the budget, this policy must disclose the cumulative overpayment made each year. Up until the 31 March 2019 the total VRP overpayments were £0m.

The Council currently has no capital financing requirement for the General Fund and therefore does not need to make a MRP provision. As the Council is likely to fund capital expenditure from borrowing in the near future and as there is a statutory requirement to have an approved MRP Statement in place in advance of each year, an MRP policy has been included in this Treasury Management Strategy as Appendix 12B(2). Council is asked to adopt and approve the MRP policy statement.

#### 2.4 Core funds and expected investment balances

The use of resources (capital receipts, reserves etc.) to finance capital expenditure or other budget decisions to support the revenue budget will have an ongoing impact on investments unless resources are supplemented each year from new sources (asset sales etc.).

The proposed Capital Plan, which runs through to March 2021 and is fully funded from capital receipts, reserves and revenue funding. Any new proposals for additional capital or investment expenditure will require a business plan and will be considered on their merits and the availability of funding. The funding position is regularly reviewed and any need to borrow externally will be considered. If this requires a revision of this Treasury Management Strategy in year it will be brought back to full Council for approval.

#### **3 BORROWING**

The capital expenditure plans set out in Section 2 provide details of the service activity of the Council. The treasury management function ensures that the Council's cash is organised in accordance with the relevant professional codes so that sufficient cash is available to meet this service activity. This will involve both the management of the cash flow and, where capital plans require, the organisation of appropriate borrowing facilities. The strategy covers the relevant treasury/prudential indicators, the current and projected debt positions and the annual investment strategy.

#### 3.1 Current portfolio position

One of the key indicators is that the Council's gross debt does not, except in the short term, exceed the total of the CFR in the preceding year plus the estimates of any additional CFR for 2019/20 and the following two financial years. This is to ensure that the Council conducts its activities within well-defined limits. Also the indicator allows some flexibility for limited early borrowing for future years, but ensures that borrowing is not undertaken for revenue purposes.

The table below shows the forward projections for external debt against the underlying need to finance capital expenditure through borrowing or other long term liabilities, i.e. the CFR, highlighting any over or under borrowing.

	2017/18 Actual £'000	2018/19 Estimate £'000	2019/20 Estimate £'000	2020/21 Estimate £'000
External Debt at 1 April	81,190	81,190	81,190	81,190
Expected change in Debt	0	0	0	0
Actual debt at 31 March	81,190	81,190	81,190	81,190
Capital Financing Requirement	81,572	81,572	81,572	81,572
Under/(over) borrowing	382	382	382	382

The table shows that the Council has complied with this prudential indicator in the current year and does not envisage difficulties for the future. This view takes into account current commitments, existing plans, and the proposals in the 2019/20 budget report. Within the above figures there is no debt that relates to commercial activities/non-financial investment.

Within the range of prudential indicators there are a number of key indicators to ensure that the Council operates its activities within well-defined limits. One of these is that the Council needs to ensure that its gross debt does not, except in the short term, exceed the total of the CFR in the preceding year plus the estimates of any additional CFR for 2019/20 and the following two financial years. This allows some flexibility for limited early borrowing for future years, but ensures that borrowing is not undertaken for revenue or speculative purposes.

The Council complied with this prudential indicator in the current year and does not envisage difficulties for the future. This view takes into account current commitments, existing plans, and the proposals in this budget report.

#### 3.2 Treasury Indicators: limits to borrowing activity

#### The operational boundary.

This is the limit beyond which external debt is not normally expected to exceed. In most cases, this would be a similar figure to the CFR, but may be lower or higher depending on the levels of actual debt and the ability to fund under-borrowing by other cash resources.

Operational boundary	2018/19 Estimate £'000	2019/20 Estimate £'000	2020/21 Estimate £'000	2021/22 Estimate £'000
Debt	81,190	81,190	81,190	81,190
Commercial Activities/Non- financial investments	0	0	0	0
Other long term liabilities	0	0	0	0
Total	81,190	81,190	81,190	81,190

#### The authorised limit for external debt.

A further key prudential indicator represents a control on the maximum level of borrowing. This represents a limit beyond which external debt is prohibited, and this limit needs to be set or revised by full Council. It reflects the level of external debt which, while not desired, could be afforded in the short term, but is not sustainable in the longer term.

This is the statutory limit determined under section 3(1) of the Local Government Act 2003. The Government retains an option to control either the total of all councils' plans, or those of a specific council, although this power has not yet been exercised

It should be noted that the authorised limit (as shown in the table below) has been set based on the current capital expenditure and funding plans. If the Council decides to take forward any commercial investment plans then the authorised limit will need to be reviewed to ensure that the maximum level of borrowing that the Council can take is not exceeded. Any change to the authorised limit will need approving by full Council.

The Council is asked to approve the following authorised limit:

Authorised limit	2018/19 Estimate £'000	2019/20 Estimate £'000	2020/21 Estimate £'000	2021/22 Estimate £'000
Debt	96,000	96,000	96,000	96,000
Commercial Activities/Non-financial investments	0	0	0	0
Other long term liabilities	0	0	0	0
Total	96,000	96,000	96,000	96,000

Separately, the Council is also limited to a maximum HRA CFR through the HRA self-financing regime. The maximum HRA CFR cannot be greater than the HRA debt cap. The difference between the two is known as the HRA headroom and it equates to borrowing that the HRA can still take. This limit is currently:

HRA Debt Limit	2018/19 Estimate £'000	2019/20 Estimate £'000	2020/21 Estimate £'000	2021/22 Estimate £'000
HRA debt cap *	88,770	88,770	88,770	88,770
HRA CFR	81,820	81,820	81,820	81,820
HRA headroom	6,950	6,950	6,950	6,950

<sup>\*</sup> Abolition of HRA debt cap - In October 2018, the Prime Minister announced a policy change of abolition of the HRA debt cap. The Chancellor announced in the Budget in November that the applicable date was 29 October 2018. At this stage the detail behind the announcement is not yet known, but the Council welcomes this change in policy and would probably take advantage of the new freedom in the future.

#### 3.3 Prospects for interest rates

The Council has appointed Link Asset Services (formerly Capita Asset Services) as its treasury advisor and part of their service is to assist the Council to formulate a view on interest rates. The following table and commentary gives Links view on interest rate prospects.

Link Asset Services Interest Rate View													
	Mar-19	Jun-19	Sep-19	Dec-19	Mar-20	Jun-20	Sep-20	Dec-20	Mar-21	Jun-21	Sep-21	Dec-21	Mar-22
Bank Rate View	0.75%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.25%	1.25%	1.25%	1.50%	1.50%	1.75%	1.75%	1.75%	2.00%
3 Month LIBID	0.90%	1.00%	1.10%	1.20%	1.30%	1.40%	1.50%	1.50%	1.60%	1.70%	1.80%	1.90%	2.00%
6 Month LIBID	1.00%	1.20%	1.30%	1.40%	1.50%	1.60%	1.70%	1.70%	1.80%	1.90%	2.00%	2.10%	2.20%
12 Month LIBID	1.20%	1.30%	1.40%	1.50%	1.60%	1.70%	1.80%	1.90%	2.00%	2.10%	2.20%	2.30%	2.40%
5yr PWLB Rate	2.10%	2.20%	2.20%	2.30%	2.30%	2.40%	2.50%	2.50%	2.60%	2.60%	2.70%	2.80%	2.80%
10yr PWLB Rate	2.50%	2.60%	2.60%	2.70%	2.80%	2.90%	2.90%	3.00%	3.00%	3.10%	3.10%	3.20%	3.20%
25yr PWLB Rate	2.90%	3.00%	3.10%	3.10%	3.20%	3.30%	3.30%	3.40%	3.40%	3.50%	3.50%	3.60%	3.60%
50yr PWLB Rate	2.70%	2.80%	2.90%	2.90%	3.00%	3.10%	3.10%	3.20%	3.20%	3.30%	3.30%	3.40%	3.40%

The generally positive economic statistics for the first half of 2018 meant that the MPC decided to increase the Bank Rate from 0.5% to 0.75% on 2 August 2018, (the first increase in above 0.5% since the financial crash). Due to growth slowing significantly during the last quarter at their November quarterly Inflation Report meeting, the MPC left Bank Rate unchanged, but expressed some concern at the Chancellor's fiscal stimulus in his Budget, which could increase inflationary pressures. However, it is unlikely that the MPC would increase Bank Rate in February 2019, ahead of the deadline in March for Brexit. On a major assumption that Parliament and the EU agree a Brexit deal in the first quarter of 2019, then the next increase in Bank Rate is forecast to be in May 2019, followed by increases in February and November 2020, before ending up at 2.0% in February 2022.

The overall longer run future trend is for gilt yields, and consequently PWLB rates, to rise, albeit gently. However, over about the last 25 years, we have been through a period of falling bond yields as inflation subsided to, and then stabilised at, much lower levels than before, and supported by central banks implementing substantial quantitative easing purchases of government and other debt after the financial crash of 2008. Quantitative easing, conversely, also caused a rise in equity values as investors searched for higher returns and purchased riskier assets. In 2016, we saw the start of a reversal of this trend with a sharp rise in bond yields after the US

Presidential election in November 2016, with yields then rising further as a result of the big increase in the US government deficit aimed at stimulating even stronger economic growth. That policy change also created concerns around a significant rise in inflationary pressures in an economy which was already running at remarkably low levels of unemployment. Unsurprisingly, the Fed has continued on its series of robust responses to combat its perception of rising inflationary pressures by repeatedly increasing the Fed rate to reach 2.25 – 2.50% in December 2018. It has also continued its policy of not fully reinvesting proceeds from bonds that it holds as a result of quantitative easing, when they mature. We therefore saw US 10 year bond Treasury yields rise above 3.2% during October 2018 and also investors causing a sharp fall in equity prices as they sold out of holding riskier assets. However, by early January 2019, US 10 year bond yields had fallen back considerably on fears that the Fed was being too aggressive in raising interest rates and was going to cause a recession. Equity prices have been very volatile on alternating good and bad news during this period.

From time to time, gilt yields, and therefore PWLB rates, can be subject to exceptional levels of volatility due to geo-political, sovereign debt crisis, emerging market developments and sharp changes in investor sentiment. Such volatility could occur at any time during the forecast period.

Economic and interest rate forecasting remains difficult with so many external influences weighing on the UK. The above forecasts, (and MPC decisions), will be liable to further amendment depending on how economic data and developments in financial markets transpire over the next year. Geopolitical developments, especially in the EU, could also have a major impact. Forecasts for average investment earnings beyond the three-year time horizon will be heavily dependent on economic and political developments.

#### 3.4 Investment and borrowing rates

Investment returns are likely to remain low during 2019/20 but to be on a gently rising trend over the next few years.

Borrowing interest rates have been volatile so far in 2018-19 and while they were on a rising trend during the first half of the year, they have backtracked since then until early January. The policy of avoiding new borrowing by running down spare cash balances has served well over the last few years. However, this needs to be carefully reviewed to avoid incurring higher borrowing costs in the future when authorities may not be able to avoid new borrowing to finance capital expenditure and/or the refinancing of maturing debt.

There will remain a cost of carry, (the difference between higher borrowing costs and lower investment returns), to any new long-term borrowing that causes a temporary increase in cash balances as this position will, most likely, incur a revenue cost.

#### 3.5 Borrowing strategy

As a result The Council is currently maintaining an under-borrowed position overall. This means that the capital borrowing need (the Capital Financing Requirement), has not been fully funded with loan debt. Instead cash supporting the Council's reserves, balances and cash flow has been used as a temporary measure. This strategy is

prudent as investment returns are at an historic low and counterparty risk is still an issue that needs to be considered. Against this background and the risks within the economic forecast, caution will be adopted with the 2019/20 treasury operations. The Council will monitor interest rates in financial markets and adopt a pragmatic approach to changing circumstances both internally and externally.

If the Council wishes to invest in commercial property it is likely that this will be funded by external borrowing in the long term. Although in the short to medium term the Council is able to temporarily utilise its cash balances as a short to medium term alternative to external borrowing i.e. internally borrow. This is considered to be an effective strategy at present as:

- It enables the Council to avoid significant external borrowing costs in the short to medium term (i.e. making it possible to avoid net interest payments); and
- It mitigates the risks associated with investing cash.

#### 3.6 Policy on borrowing in advance of need

The Council will not borrow more than or in advance of its needs purely in order to profit from the investment of the extra sums borrowed. Any decision to borrow in advance will be within forward approved Capital Financing Requirement estimates, and will be considered carefully to ensure that value for money can be demonstrated and that the Council can ensure the security of such funds.

Risks associated with any borrowing in advance activity will be subject to prior appraisal and subsequent reporting through the mid-year or annual reporting mechanism.

#### 3.7 Debt rescheduling

As short term borrowing rates will be considerably cheaper than longer term fixed interest rates, there may be potential opportunities to generate savings by switching from long term debt to short term debt. However, these savings will need to be considered in the light of the current treasury position and the size of the cost of debt repayment (premiums incurred).

The reasons for any rescheduling to take place will include:

- the generation of cash savings and / or discounted cash flow savings;
- helping to fulfil the treasury strategy;
- enhance the balance of the portfolio (amend the maturity profile and/or the balance of volatility).

Consideration will also be given to identify whether there is any residual potential for making savings by running down investment balances to repay debt prematurely as short term rates on investments are likely to be lower than rates paid on current debt.

The Council currently has one long term variable rate debt which matures in 2024 and it carries a current interest rate of 11.625%. The cost of replacing this debt is prohibitive and this position is unlikely to change in the next three years.

The £79.19m of HRA debt is at fixed interest rates and the twenty four loans are repayable from 2024 to 2061. Their maturity dates are set to match income and

expenditure levels in the HRA Business Plan and they will be reviewed in line with that plan. However, the primary objective of the plan over the next few years is to invest in the Council's housing stock and this position is not expected to change in the near future. Therefore these debts are unlikely to be rescheduled over the next three years. All rescheduling will be reported to the Cabinet at either the half year or full year report stage.

#### 3.8 Municipal Bond Agency

It is possible that the Municipal Bond Agency will be offering loans to local authorities in the future. The Agency hopes that the borrowing rates will be lower than those offered by the Public Works Loan Board (PWLB). This Authority may make use of this new source of borrowing as and when appropriate.

#### 4. ANNUAL INVESTMENT STRATEGY

#### 4.1 Investment policy - management of risk

The MHCLG and CIPFA have extended the meaning of 'investments' to include both financial and non-financial investments. This report deals solely with financial investments, (as managed by the treasury management team). Non-financial investments, essentially the purchase of income yielding assets, are covered in the Capital Strategy, (a separate report).

The Council's investment policy has regard to the following: -

- MHCLG's Guidance on Local Government Investments ("the Guidance")
- CIPFA Treasury Management in Public Services Code of Practice and Cross Sectoral Guidance Notes 2017 ("the Code")
- CIPFA Treasury Management Guidance Notes 2018
- The Council's investment priorities will be security first, portfolio liquidity second and then yield, (return).

The above guidance from the MHCLG and CIPFA places a high priority on the management of risk. This authority has adopted a prudent approach to managing risk and defines its risk appetite by the following means: -

- Minimum acceptable credit criteria are applied in order to generate a list of highly creditworthy counterparties. This also enables diversification and thus avoidance of concentration risk. The key ratings used to monitor counterparties are the short term and long-term ratings.
- 2. Other information: ratings will not be the sole determinant of the quality of an institution; it is important to continually assess and monitor the financial sector on both a micro and macro basis and in relation to the economic and political environments in which institutions operate. The assessment will also take account of information that reflects the opinion of the markets. To achieve this consideration the Council will engage with its advisors to maintain a monitor on market pricing such as "credit default swaps" and overlay that information on top of the credit ratings.

- 3. **Other information sources** used will include the financial press, share price and other such information pertaining to the banking sector in order to establish the most robust scrutiny process on the suitability of potential investment counterparties.
- 4. This authority has defined the list of **types of investment instruments** that the treasury management team are authorised to use. There are two lists in appendix 12B (3) under the categories of 'specified' and 'non-specified' investments.
- **Specified investments** are those with a high level of credit quality and subject to a maturity limit of one year.
- Non-specified investments are those with less high credit quality, may be for periods in excess of one year, and/or are more complex instruments which require greater consideration by members and officers before being authorised for use.
- 5. **Non-specified investments limit.** The Council has determined that it will limit the maximum total exposure to non-specified investments to a total of £25m, (see paragraph 4.3).
- 6. **Lending limits**, (amounts and maturity), for each counterparty will be set through applying the matrix table in paragraph 4.2.
- 7. **Transaction limits** are set for each type of investment in 4.2.
- 8. This authority will set a limit for the amount of its investments which are invested for **longer than 365 days**, (see paragraph 4.4).
- 9. Investments will only be placed with counterparties from countries with a specified minimum **sovereign rating**, (see paragraph 4.3).
- 10. This authority has engaged external consultants, (see paragraph 1.5), to provide expert advice on how to optimise an appropriate balance of security, liquidity and yield, given the risk appetite of this authority in the context of the expected level of cash balances and need for liquidity throughout the year.
- 11. All investments will be denominated in **sterling**.
- 12. As a result of the change in accounting standards for 2018/19 under IFRS 9, this authority will consider the implications of investment instruments which could result in an adverse movement in the value of the amount invested and resultant charges at the end of the year to the General Fund. (In November 2018, the MHCLG concluded a consultation for a temporary override to allow English local authorities time to adjust their portfolio of all pooled investments by announcing a statutory override to delay implementation of IFRS 9 for five years commencing from 1.4.18.)

However, this authority will also pursue **value for money** in treasury management and will monitor the yield from investment income against appropriate benchmarks for investment performance, (see paragraph 4.5). Regular monitoring of investment performance will be carried out during the year.

#### Changes in risk management policy from last year.

The above criteria are unchanged from last year.

Investment instruments identified for use in the financial year are listed in appendix 12B (3) under the 'specified' and 'non-specified' investments categories. Counterparty limits will be as set through the Council's treasury management practices.

#### 4.2 Creditworthiness policy

This Council applies the creditworthiness service provided by Link Asset Services. This service employs a sophisticated modelling approach utilising credit ratings from the three main credit rating agencies - Fitch, Moody's and Standard and Poor's. The credit ratings of counterparties are supplemented with the following overlays:

- credit watches and credit outlooks from credit rating agencies;
- CDS spreads to give early warning of likely changes in credit ratings;
- sovereign ratings to select counterparties from only the most creditworthy countries.

This modelling approach combines credit ratings, credit Watches and credit Outlooks in a weighted scoring system which is then combined with an overlay of CDS spreads for which the end product is a series of colour coded bands which indicate the relative creditworthiness of counterparties. These colour codes are used by the Council to determine the suggested duration for investments. The Council will therefore use counterparties within the following durational bands:

Dark pink	Up to 5 years for Ultra-Short Dated Bond Funds
	with a credit score of 1.25
Light pink	Up to 5 years for Ultra-Short Dated Bond Funds
0 1	with a credit score of 1.5
5	
Purple	Up to 2 years
•	
Blue	Up to 1 year (only applies to nationalised or semi
Dide .	
	nationalised UK Banks)
Orange	Up to 1 year
o a i go	op to 1 year
Red	Up to 6 months
Reu	op to o months
	11 ( 400 1
Green	Up to 100 days
	•
No colour	not to be used
1.10 00.001	

The Link Asset Services' creditworthiness service uses a wider array of information other than just primary ratings. Furthermore, by using a risk weighted scoring system, it does not give undue preponderance to just one agency's ratings.

Typically the minimum credit ratings criteria the Council use will be a Short Term rating (Fitch or equivalents) of F1 and a Long Term rating of A-. There may be occasions when the counterparty ratings from one rating agency are marginally lower than these ratings but may still be used. In these instances, consideration will be given to the whole range of ratings available, or other topical market information, to support their use.

All credit ratings will be monitored weekly. The Council is alerted to changes to ratings of all three agencies through its use of the Link Asset Services' creditworthiness service.

- if a downgrade results in the counterparty / investment scheme no longer meeting the Council's minimum criteria, its further use as a new investment will be withdrawn immediately.
- in addition to the use of credit ratings the Council will be advised of
  information in movements in credit default swap spreads against the
  iTraxx benchmark and other market data on a daily basis via its
  Passport website, provided exclusively to it by Link Asset Services.
  Extreme market movements may result in downgrade of an institution or
  removal from the Council's lending list.

Sole reliance will not be placed on the use of this external service. In addition this Council will also use market data and market information, information on any external support for banks to help support its decision making process.

#### UK banks - ring fencing

The largest UK banks, (those with more than £25bn of retail / Small and Medium-sized Enterprise (SME) deposits), are required, by UK law, to separate core retail banking services from their investment and international banking activities by 1st January 2019. This is known as "ring-fencing". Whilst smaller banks with less than £25bn in deposits are exempt, they can choose to opt up. Several banks are very close to the threshold already and so may come into scope in the future regardless.

Ring-fencing is a regulatory initiative created in response to the global financial crisis. It mandates the separation of retail and SME deposits from investment banking, in order to improve the resilience and resolvability of banks by changing their structure. In general, simpler, activities offered from within a ring-fenced bank, (RFB), will be focused on lower risk, day-to-day core transactions, whilst more complex and "riskier" activities are required to be housed in a separate entity, a non-ring-fenced bank, (NRFB). This is intended to ensure that an entity's core activities are not adversely affected by the acts or omissions of other members of its group.

While the structure of the banks included within this process may have changed, the fundamentals of credit assessment have not. The Council will continue to assess the new-formed entities in the same way that it does others and those with sufficiently high ratings, (and any other metrics considered), will be considered for investment purposes.

#### 4.3 Country limits

The Council has determined that it will only use approved counterparties from countries with a minimum sovereign credit rating of AA- from Fitch, other than the UK where the Council has set no limit. The list of countries that qualify using this credit criteria as at the date of this report are shown in Appendix 12B (4). This list will be added to, or deducted from by officers should ratings change in accordance with this policy.

#### 4.4 Investment strategy

<u>In-house funds</u> - Investments will be made with reference to the core balance and cash flow requirements and the outlook for short-term interest rates (i.e. rates for investments up to 12 months). Greater returns are usually obtainable by investing for longer periods. While most cash balances are required in order to manage the ups and downs of cash flow (amend as appropriate), where cash sums can be identified that could be invested for longer periods, the value to be obtained from longer term investments will be carefully assessed.

- If it is thought that Bank Rate is likely to rise significantly within the time horizon being considered, then consideration will be given to keeping most investments as being short term or variable.
- Conversely, if it is thought that Bank Rate is likely to fall within that time period, consideration will be given to locking in higher rates currently obtainable, for longer periods.

#### Investment returns expectations.

On the assumption that the UK and EU agree a Brexit deal in spring 2019, then Bank Rate is forecast to increase steadily but slowly over the next few years to reach 2.00% by quarter 1 2022. Bank Rate forecasts for financial year ends (March) are:

- 2018/19 0.75%
- 2019/20 1.25%
- 2020/21 1.50%
- 2021/22 2.00%

The suggested budgeted investment earnings rates for returns on investments placed for periods up to about three months during each financial year are as follows:

	Now
2018/19	0.75%
2019/20	1.00%
2020/21	1.50%
2021/22	1.75%
2022/23	1.75%
2023/24	2.00%
Later years	2.50%

The overall balance of risks to economic growth in the UK is probably neutral.

The balance of risks to increases in Bank Rate and shorter term PWLB rates, are probably also even and are dependent on how strong GDP growth turns out, how slowly inflation pressures subside, and how quickly the Brexit negotiations move forward positively

Additionally the Council has loans to other Local Authorities and has invested in two property funds in 2018/19 following a selection process assisted by our Treasury Advisors Link. Both of these investment types are for periods of greater than 365 days and it is anticipated that returns on investments will be above the rates shown for the proportion of funding invested for these longer periods. Potential sums to be invested in this way are given below and the current snapshot of investments held for over 365 days is shown in Appendix 12B (6).

**Investment treasury indicator and limit** - total principal funds invested for greater than 365 days. These limits are set with regard to the Council's liquidity requirements and to reduce the need for early sale of an investment, and are based on the availability of funds after each year-end.

The Council is asked to approve the treasury indicator and limit: -

Maximum principal sums invested > 365 days					
£m	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22		
Principal sums invested >	£25m	£25m	£25m		

#### 4.5. Investment risk benchmarking

This Council will use an investment benchmark to assess the investment performance of its investment portfolio. For cash investments this will be the 3 month London Interbank Bid Rate (LIBID) which matches the weighted average time period of our current cash investments. Should the Council invest in Property Funds an appropriate additional benchmark will be added to measure the performance of these investments. This will be reported in the next available treasury report to Members.

#### 4.6 End of year investment report

At the end of the financial year, the Council will report on its investment activity as part of its Annual Treasury Report.

#### **APPENDICES FOR APPENDIX 12B**

12B (1). **Economic Background** 12B (2). Minimum Revenue Provision Policy Treasury management practice 1 – credit and counterparty risk 12B (3). management Approved Countries for Investment 12B (4). Approved Brokers for investments 12B (5). 12B (6). Current Investments as at 18 January 2018 12B (7). Treasury management scheme of delegation 12B (8). The treasury management role of the section 151 officer

#### **ECONOMIC BACKGROUND**

**GLOBAL OUTLOOK.** World growth looks to be on an encouraging trend of stronger performance, rising earnings and falling levels of unemployment. In October, the IMF upgraded its forecast for world growth from 3.2% to 3.6% for 2017 and 3.7% for 2018.

In addition, inflation prospects are generally muted and it is particularly notable that wage inflation has been subdued despite unemployment falling to historically very low levels in the UK and US. This has led to many comments by economists that there appears to have been a fundamental shift downwards in the Phillips curve (this plots the correlation between levels of unemployment and inflation e.g. if the former is low the latter tends to be high). In turn, this raises the question of what has caused this? The likely answers probably lay in a combination of a shift towards flexible working, self- employment, falling union membership and a consequent reduction in union power and influence in the economy, and increasing globalisation and specialisation of individual countries, which has meant that labour in one country is in competition with labour in other countries which may be offering lower wage rates, increased productivity or a combination of the two. In addition, technology is probably also exerting downward pressure on wage rates and this is likely to grow with an accelerating movement towards automation, robots and artificial intelligence, leading to many repetitive tasks being taken over by machines or computers. Indeed, this is now being labelled as being the start of the fourth industrial revolution.

#### KEY RISKS - central bank monetary policy measures

Looking back on nearly ten years since the financial crash of 2008 when liquidity suddenly dried up in financial markets, it can be assessed that central banks' monetary policy measures to counter the sharp world recession were successful. The key monetary policy measures they used were a combination of lowering central interest rates and flooding financial markets with liquidity, particularly through unconventional means such as Quantitative Easing (QE), where central banks bought large amounts of central government debt and smaller sums of other debt.

The key issue now is that that period of stimulating economic recovery and warding off the threat of deflation is coming towards its close and a new period has already started in the US, and more recently in the UK, on reversing those measures i.e. by raising central rates and (for the US) reducing central banks' holdings of government and other debt. These measures are now required in order to stop the trend of an on-going reduction in spare capacity in the economy, and of unemployment falling to such low levels that the re-emergence of inflation is viewed as a major risk. It is, therefore, crucial that central banks get their timing right and do not cause shocks to market expectations that could destabilise financial markets. In particular, a key risk is that because QE-driven purchases of bonds drove up the price of government debt, and therefore caused a sharp drop in income yields, this then also encouraged investors into a search for yield and into investing in riskier assets such as equities. This resulted in bond markets and equity market prices both rising to historically high valuation levels simultaneously. This, therefore, makes both asset categories vulnerable to a sharp correction. It is important, therefore, that central banks only gradually unwind their holdings of bonds in order to prevent destabilising the financial markets. It is also likely that the timeframe for central banks unwinding their holdings of QE debt purchases will be over several years. They need to balance their timing to neither squash economic recovery by taking too rapid and too strong action, or, alternatively, let inflation run away by taking action that was too slow and/or too weak. The potential for central banks to get this timing and strength of action wrong are now key risks.

There is also a potential key question over whether economic growth has become too dependent on strong central bank stimulus and whether it will maintain its momentum against a backdrop of rising interest rates and the reversal of QE. In the UK, a key vulnerability is the **low level of productivity growth**, which may be the main driver for increases in wages; and **decreasing consumer disposable income**, which is important in the context of consumer expenditure primarily underpinning UK GDP growth.

A further question that has come to the fore is whether **an inflation target for central banks of 2%**, is now realistic given the shift down in inflation pressures from internally generated inflation, (i.e. wage inflation feeding through into the national economy), given the above mentioned shift down in the Phillips curve.

Some economists favour a shift to a **lower inflation target of 1%** to emphasise the need to keep the lid on inflation. Alternatively, it is possible that a central bank could simply 'look through' tepid wage inflation, (i.e. ignore the overall 2% inflation target), in order to take action in raising rates sooner than might otherwise be expected. However, other economists would argue for a shift UP in the inflation target to 3% in order to ensure that central banks place the emphasis on maintaining economic growth through adopting a slower pace of withdrawal of stimulus. In addition, there is a strong argument that central banks should target financial market stability. As mentioned previously, bond markets and equity markets could be vulnerable to a sharp correction. There has been much commentary, that since 2008, QE has caused massive distortions, imbalances and bubbles in asset prices, both financial and non-financial. Consequently, there are widespread concerns at the potential for such bubbles to be burst by exuberant central bank action. On the other hand, too slow or weak action would allow these imbalances and distortions to continue or to even inflate them further. Consumer debt levels are also at historically high levels due to the prolonged period of low cost of borrowing since the financial crash. In turn, this cheap borrowing has meant that other non-financial asset prices, particularly house prices, have been driven up to very high levels, especially compared to income levels. Any sharp downturn in the availability of credit, or increase in the cost of credit, could potentially destabilise the housing market and generate a sharp downturn in house prices. This could then have a destabilising effect on consumer confidence, consumer expenditure and GDP growth. However, no central bank would accept that it ought to have responsibility for specifically targeting house prices.

**UK.** After the UK surprised on the upside with strong economic growth in 2016, **growth in 2017 has been disappointingly weak**; quarter 1 came in at only +0.3% (+1.8% y/y), quarter 2 was +0.3% (+1.5% y/y) and quarter 3 was +0.4% (+1.5% y/y). The main reason for this has been the sharp increase in inflation, caused by the devaluation of sterling after the EU referendum, feeding increases in the cost of imports into the economy. This has caused, in turn, a reduction in consumer disposable income and spending power and so the services sector of the economy, accounting for around 80% of GDP, has seen weak growth as

consumers cut back on their expenditure. However, more recently there have been encouraging statistics from the **manufacturing sector** which is seeing strong growth, particularly as a result of increased demand for exports. It has helped that growth in the EU, our main trading partner, has improved significantly over the last year while robust world growth has also been supportive. However, this sector only accounts for around 10% of GDP so expansion in this sector will have a much more muted effect on the overall GDP growth figure for the UK economy as a whole.

While the Bank of England is expected to give forward guidance to prepare financial markets for gradual changes in policy, the Monetary Policy Committee, (MPC), meeting of 14 September 2017 managed to shock financial markets and forecasters by suddenly switching to a much more aggressive tone in terms of its words around warning that Bank Rate will need to rise soon. The Bank of England Inflation Reports during 2017 have clearly flagged up that it expected CPI inflation to peak at just under 3% in 2017, before falling back to near to its target rate of 2% in two years' time. The Bank revised its forecast for the peak to just over 3% at the 14 September meeting. (Inflation actually came in at 3.1% in November so that may prove now to be the peak.) This marginal revision in the Bank's forecast can hardly justify why the MPC became so aggressive with its wording; rather, the focus was on an emerging view that with unemployment having already fallen to only 4.3%, the lowest level since 1975, and improvements in productivity being so weak, that the amount of spare capacity in the economy was significantly diminishing towards a point at which they now needed to take action. In addition, the MPC took a more tolerant view of low wage inflation as this now looks like a common factor in nearly all western economies as a result of automation and globalisation. However, the Bank was also concerned that the withdrawal of the UK from the EU would effectively lead to a decrease in such globalisation pressures in the UK, and so this would cause additional inflationary pressure over the next few years.

At Its 2 November meeting, the MPC duly delivered a 0.25% increase in Bank Rate. It also gave forward guidance that they expected to increase Bank Rate only twice more in the next three years to reach 1.0% by 2020. This is, therefore, not quite the 'one and done' scenario but is, nevertheless, a very relaxed rate of increase prediction in Bank Rate in line with previous statements that Bank Rate would only go up very gradually and to a limited extent.

However, some forecasters are flagging up that they expect growth to accelerate significantly towards the end of 2017 and then into 2018. This view is based primarily on the coming fall in inflation, (as the effect of the effective devaluation of sterling after the EU referendum drops out of the CPI statistics), which will bring to an end the negative impact on consumer spending power. In addition, a strong export performance will compensate for weak services sector growth. If this scenario was indeed to materialise, then the MPC would be likely to accelerate its pace of increases in Bank Rate during 2018 and onwards.

It is also worth noting the **contradiction within the Bank of England** between action in 2016 and in 2017 **by two of its committees**. After the shock result of the EU referendum, the **Monetary Policy Committee (MPC)** voted in August 2016 for emergency action to cut Bank Rate from 0.50% to 0.25%, restarting £70bn of QE purchases, and also providing UK banks with £100bn of cheap financing. The aim of this was to lower borrowing costs, stimulate demand for borrowing and thereby increase expenditure and demand in the economy. The

MPC felt this was necessary in order to ward off their expectation that there would be a sharp slowdown in economic growth. Instead, the economy grew robustly, although the Governor of the Bank of England strongly maintained that this was because the MPC took that action. However, other commentators regard this emergency action by the MPC as being proven by events to be a mistake. Then in 2017, we had the Financial Policy Committee (FPC) of the Bank of England taking action in June and September over its concerns that cheap borrowing rates, and easy availability of consumer credit, had resulted in too rapid a rate of growth in consumer borrowing and in the size of total borrowing, especially of unsecured borrowing. It, therefore, took punitive action to clamp down on the ability of the main banks to extend such credit! Indeed, a PWC report in October 2017 warned that credit card, car and personal loans and student debt will hit the equivalent of an average of £12,500 per household by 2020. However, averages belie wide variations in levels of debt with much higher exposure being biased towards younger people, especially the 25 -34 year old band, reflecting their lower levels of real income and asset ownership.

One key area of risk is that consumers may have become used to cheap rates since 2008 for borrowing, especially for mortgages. It is a major concern that **some consumers may have over extended their borrowing** and have become complacent about interest rates going up after Bank Rate had been unchanged at 0.50% since March 2009 until falling further to 0.25% in August 2016. This is why forward guidance from the Bank of England continues to emphasise slow and gradual increases in Bank Rate in the coming years. However, consumer borrowing is a particularly vulnerable area in terms of the Monetary Policy Committee getting the pace and strength of Bank Rate increases right - without causing a sudden shock to consumer demand, confidence and thereby to the pace of economic growth.

Moreover, while there is so much uncertainty around the Brexit negotiations, consumer confidence, and business confidence to spend on investing, it is far too early to be confident about how the next two to three years will actually pan out.

EZ. Economic growth in the eurozone (EZ), (the UK's biggest trading partner), had been lack lustre for several years after the financial crisis despite the ECB eventually cutting its main rate to -0.4% and embarking on a massive programme of QE. However, growth picked up in 2016 and has now gathered substantial strength and momentum thanks to this stimulus. GDP growth was 0.6% in quarter 1 (2.1% y/y), 0.7% in quarter 2 (2.4% y/y) and +0.6% in quarter 3 (2.6% y/y). However, despite providing massive monetary stimulus, the European Central Bank is still struggling to get inflation up to its 2% target and in November inflation was 1.5%. It is therefore unlikely to start on an upswing in rates until possibly 2019. It has, however, announced that it will slow down its monthly QE purchases of debt from €60bn to €30bn from January 2018 and continue to at least September 2018.

USA. Growth in the American economy was notably erratic and volatile in 2015 and 2016. 2017 is following that path again with quarter 1 coming in at only 1.2% but quarter 2 rebounding to 3.1% and

quarter 3 coming in at 3.2%. Unemployment in the US has also fallen to the lowest level for many years, reaching 4.1%, while wage inflation pressures, and inflationary pressures in general, have been building. The Fed has started on a gradual upswing in rates with four increases in all and four increases since December 2016; the latest rise was in December 2017 and lifted the central rate to 1.25 – 1.50%. There could then be another four increases in 2018. At its September meeting, the Fed said it would start in October to gradually unwind its \$4.5 trillion balance sheet holdings of bonds and mortgage backed securities by reducing its reinvestment of maturing holdings.

**CHINA.** Economic growth has been weakening over successive years, despite repeated rounds of central bank stimulus; medium term risks are increasing. Major progress still needs to be made to eliminate excess industrial capacity and the stock of unsold property, and to address the level of non- performing loans in the banking and credit systems.

**JAPAN.** GDP growth has been gradually improving during 2017 to reach an annual figure of 2.1% in quarter 3. However, it is still struggling to get inflation up to its target of 2%, despite huge monetary and fiscal stimulus. It is also making little progress on fundamental reform of the economy.

## **Brexit timetable and process**

## **Brexit timetable and process**

- March 2017: UK government notified the European Council of its intention to leave under the Treaty on European Union Article 50 on 29 March 2019.
- 25.11.18 EU27 leaders endorsed the withdrawal agreement
- Dec 2018 vote in the UK Parliament on the agreement was postponed
- 21.12.18 8.1.19 UK parliamentary recess
- 15.1.19 Brexit deal defeated in the Commons vote by a large margin
- By 29.3.19 second vote (?) in UK parliament
- By 29.3.19 if the UK Parliament approves a deal, then ratification by the EU Parliament requires a simple majority
- By 29.3.19 if the UK and EU parliaments agree the deal, the EU Council needs to approve the deal; 20 countries representing 65% of the EU population must agree
- 29.3.19 Either the UK leaves the EU, or asks the EU for agreement to an extension of the Article 50 period if the UK Parliament has been unable to agree on a Brexit deal.
- 29.3.19: if an agreement is reached with the EU on the terms of Brexit, then this
  will be followed by a proposed transitional period ending around December
  2020.
- UK continues as a full EU member until March 2019 with access to the single market and tariff free trade between the EU and UK. Different sectors of the UK economy may leave the single market and tariff free trade at different times during the transitional period.

- The UK and EU would attempt to negotiate, among other agreements, a bilateral trade agreement over that period.
- The UK would aim for a negotiated agreed withdrawal from the EU, although the UK could also exit without any such agreements in the event of a breakdown of negotiations.
- If the UK exits without an agreed deal with the EU, World Trade Organisation rules and tariffs could apply to trade between the UK and EU but this is not certain
- On full exit from the EU: the UK parliament would repeal the 1972 European Communities Act.

## Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) Policy

- 1. The council is required to pay off an element of the accumulated General Fund borrowing each year through a revenue charge (the MRP), and is also allowed to undertake additional voluntary payments (VRP).
- 2. MHCLG Regulations have been issued which require full council to approve an MRP Statement in advance of each year. A variety of options are provided so long as there is a prudent provision.
- 3. Council is recommended to approve the following MRP Statement:

For capital expenditure incurred:

- (A) Before 1st April 2008 or which in the future will be Supported Capital Expenditure including the Adjustment A, the MRP policy will be to charge MRP on an annuity basis so that there is provision for the full repayment of debt over 50 years;
- (B) From 1st April 2008 for all unsupported borrowing (excluding finance leases) the MRP policy will be to charge MRP on an annuity basis so that there is provision for the full repayment of debt over the life of the asset; Asset life is deemed to begin once the asset becomes operational. MRP will commence from the financial year following the one in which the asset becomes operational.
- (C) MRP in respect of unsupported borrowing taken to meet expenditure, which is treated as capital expenditure by virtue of either a capitalisation direction or regulations, will be determined in accordance with the asset life method as recommended by the statutory guidance.
- (D) Expenditure in respect of loans made to the council's wholly owned subsidiaries will not be subject to a minimum revenue provision as the council will have undertaken sufficient due diligence to expect these loans will be repaid in full to the council by a capital receipt either during the loan agreement term or at the end of the agreement. Therefore the council considers that it can take a prudent view that the debt will be repaid in full at the end of the loan agreement (or during if it is an instalment loan), so MRP in addition to the loan debt repayments is not necessary.
- (E) Loans awarded to third parties for capital purposes where the Council gives a loan to a third party towards expenditure which would, if incurred by the Council, be capital expenditure, the amounts paid out count as capital expenditure for capital financing purposes. The expenditure is therefore included in the calculation of the Council's Capital Financing Requirement. When the Council receives the repayment of an amount loaned, the income will be classified as a capital receipt. Where the capital receipts will be applied to reduce the Capital Financing Requirement, there will be no revenue provision made for the repayment of the debt liability (i.e. unless the eventual receipt is expected to fall short of the amount expended).

(F) Investment properties - where expenditure is incurred to acquire properties meeting the accounting definition of investment properties, the Capital Financing Requirement will increase by the amount expended. Where the Council will subsequently recoup the amount expended (e.g. via the sale of an asset), the income will be classified as a capital receipt. Where the capital receipts will be applied to reduce the Capital Financing Requirement, there will be no revenue provision made for the repayment of the debt liability (i.e. unless the fair value of the properties falls below the amount expended).

This is subject to the following details:

- An average asset life for each project will normally be used. There will
  not be separate MRP schedules for the components of a building (e.g.
  plant, roof etc.). Asset life will be determined by the Chief Finance Officer.
  A standard schedule of asset lives will generally be used (as stated in the
  Statement of Accounts accounting policies).
- MRP will commence in the year following the year in which capital expenditure financed from borrowing is incurred, except for single assets when expenditure is being financed from borrowing the MRP will be deferred until the year after the asset becomes operational.
- Other methods to provide for debt repayment may occasionally be used in individual cases where this is consistent with the statutory duty to be prudent, as justified by the circumstances of the case, at the discretion of the Chief Finance Officer.
- There is no requirement on the HRA to make a minimum revenue provision but there is a requirement for a charge for depreciation to be made. Transitional arrangements with respect to depreciation, revaluation and impairments; put in place at 1 April 2012 were due to expire on 31 March 2017. However the Item 8 determination released on 24 January 2017 has extended indefinitely the ability to charge depreciation, revaluations and impairments to the HRA but reverse in the Movement in Reserves Statement.
- Repayments included in annual finance leases are excluded from MRP as they are deemed to be a proxy for MRP.

MRP Overpayments - A change introduced by the revised MHCLG MRP Guidance was the allowance that any charges made over the statutory minimum revenue provision (MRP), voluntary revenue provision or overpayments, can, if needed, be reclaimed in later years if deemed necessary or prudent. In order for these sums to be reclaimed for use in the budget, this policy must disclose the cumulative overpayment made each year. Up until the 31 March 2019 the total VRP overpayments were £0m.

# TREASURY MANAGEMENT PRACTICE (TMP1) – CREDIT AND COUNTERPARTY RISK MANAGEMENT

**SPECIFIED INVESTMENTS:** All such investments will be sterling denominated, with **maturities up to maximum of 1 year** with the exception of other Local Authorities which have a maximum of 2 years and investments in Property Funds which are longer-term investments. All investments will meet the minimum 'high' quality criteria where applicable.

A variety of investment instruments will be used, subject to the credit quality of the institution, and depending on the type of investment made it will fall into one of the above categories. The criteria, time limits and monetary limits applying to institutions or investment vehicles are:

	Minimum credit criteria / colour band	** Max % of total investments/ £ limit per institution	Max. maturity period
DMADF – UK Government	N/A	Unlimited	6 months
UK Government gilts	UK sovereign rating	Unlimited	12 months
UK Government Treasury bills	UK sovereign rating	Unlimited	12 months
Bonds issued by multilateral development banks	AAA	Unlimited	6 months
Money Market Funds (CNAV, LVAV & VNAV)	AAA	£7m any one institution and £18m in total	Liquid
Ultra-Short Dated Bond Funds with a credit score of 1.5	AAA	£7m any one institution and £18m in total	Liquid
Local authorities	N/A	£5m any one institution and £20m in total	24 months
Property Funds	N/A	£5m in total	20 Years
	Purple	£8m any one institution and £12m in total	Up to 12 months
Term deposits with banks and building societies	Blue	£7m any one institution and £12m in total	Up to 12 months
	Orange	£8m any one institution and £20m in total	Up to 12 months
Term deposits with banks and building societies	Red	£8m any one institution and £40m in total	Up to 6 Months
	Green	£6m any one institution and £20m in total	Up to 100 days
	No Colour	Nil	Not for use

Non Specified Investments: In light of the current and forecast low interest rates on specified investments the Council included the opportunity to invest in established Property Funds run by Fund Managers in a previous Treasury Management Strategy. These funds are longer term investments (typically 2-5 years) and give potentially higher returns than more liquid investment categories. Investments totaling £5m have been made in Property Funds in 2018. These investments will still form part of the £25m limit for investments of over 365 days duration, which is felt to be affordable within the Councils available reserves and balances.

**Accounting treatment of investments.** The accounting treatment may differ from the underlying cash transactions arising from investment decisions made by this Council. To ensure that the Council is protected from any adverse revenue impact, which may arise from these differences, we will review the accounting implications of new transactions before they are undertaken.

#### APPROVED COUNTRIES FOR INVESTMENTS

This list is based on those countries which have sovereign ratings of AA- or higher (we show the lowest rating from Fitch, Moody's and S&P) and also, (except - at the time of writing - for Hong Kong, Norway and Luxembourg), have banks operating in sterling markets which have credit ratings of green or above in the Capita Asset Services credit worthiness service.

## AAA

Australia

Canada

Denmark

Germany

Luxembourg

Netherlands

Norway

Singapore

Sweden

Switzerland

AA+

Finland

U.S.A.

AA

Abu Dhabi (UAE)

France

Hong Kong

U.K.

AA-

Belgium

Qatar

# **List of Approved Brokers for Investments**

The list below represents approved brokers that the Council will use to facilitate its investment strategy when necessary;

King and Shaxson

Tradition (UK) Ltd

**RP Martin** 

Link Asset Services Agency Treasury Services

# Current Investments as at 17th January 2019 (for information only).

For illustrative purposes only the Council's investments as at 17th January 2019 are set out below. Please note that these investments alter on a daily basis.

Institution	Colour	Amount £m	Maturity Date
Liverpool City Council	N/A	2,000	25/01/2019
Bournemouth Borough Council	N/A	2,000	27/09/2019
Wyre Forest District Council	N/A	2,000	09/10/2020
Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation Europe	Red	2,000	12/02/2019
Sumitomo Mitsui Banking Corporation Europe	Red	2,000	18/03/2019
Close Brothers	Red	2,000	26/04/2019
Nationwide Building Society	Red	5,000	08/07/2019
Standard Chartered Bank	Red	8,000	35 Days
Bank of Scotland	Orange	8,000	95 Days
HSBC Bank	Orange	5,000	3 Months
Santander	Orange	3,000	180 Days
Money Market Funds	AAA Rated	17,090	1 Day
Property Funds	N/A	5,000	
TOTAL		63,090	

## TREASURY MANAGEMENT SCHEME OF DELEGATION

# (i) Council

- receiving and reviewing reports on treasury management policies, practices and activities;
- approval of annual strategy.

# (ii) Cabinet

- approval of/amendments to the organisation's adopted clauses, treasury management policy
- statement and treasury management practices;
- · budget consideration and approval;
- approval of the division of responsibilities;
- · receiving and reviewing monitoring reports and acting on recommendations;

## (iii) Audit Committee/Overview Scrutiny Board

 reviewing the treasury management policy and procedures and making recommendations to the responsible body.

#### THE TREASURY MANAGEMENT ROLE OF THE SECTION 151 OFFICER

## The S151 (responsible) officer

- recommending clauses, treasury management policy/practices for approval, reviewing the same regularly, and monitoring compliance;
- submitting regular treasury management policy reports;
- · submitting budgets and budget variations;
- receiving and reviewing management information reports;
- · reviewing the performance of the treasury management function;
- ensuring the adequacy of treasury management resources and skills, and the effective division of responsibilities within the treasury management function;
- ensuring the adequacy of internal audit, and liaising with external audit;
- · recommending the appointment of external service providers.
- ensuring that due diligence has been carried out on all treasury and non-financial investments and is in accordance with the risk appetite of the authority
- ensure that the authority has appropriate legal powers to undertake expenditure on non-financial assets and their financing
- ensuring the proportionality of all investments so that the authority does not undertake a level of investing which exposes the authority to an excessive level of risk compared to its financial resources
- ensuring that an adequate governance process is in place for the approval, monitoring and ongoing risk management of all non-financial investments and long term liabilities
- ensuring that members are adequately informed and understand the risk exposures taken on by an authority
- ensuring that the authority has adequate expertise, either in house or externally provided, to carry out the above